

Nr.	Questions	Very poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent	Total
10	How would you rate the first morning's Opening debate: From momentum to real governance: next steps after the New York Declaration for Refugees and Migrants and the role of the GFMD (with panelists Pia Oberoi, Michele Klein Solomon, Sarnata Reynolds, and Kevin Appleby)?	-	1	13	44	22	80
	<i>Perfect use of Davos format / still a gap between discussions and policy action</i>						
11	Working sessions, Thursday, 8 December. What is your overall rating of the working session you attended on Thursday? (E.g. in terms of: guiding questions, moderation, discussion starters, relevance, outcomes). Please only rate the working session you attended.	-	1	7	25	10	43
	1.1: Promoting rights in recruitment processes throughout the labour supply chain	-	1	8	17	12	38
	2.1: Protecting migrants in all crises, in transit and at borders	-	2	11	16	6	35
	3.1: Creating welcoming societies and ensuring the social inclusion of diaspora and migrants	-	-	7	21	4	32
	4.1: Multilateral cooperation and governance of migration and development: institutions and legal frameworks						
	Could you name one or two participants in the Working session you attended who you think should be considered for future roles as moderators or discussion starters in further sessions?						
	<i>Bikash Chowdhury Barua / Ignacio Packer / Gibril Faal / Nilambar Badal / Mr Basanthi / Claudia Leon / Saiful Haque / Christabel Phiri / Shakirul Islam / Haytham Alhamwi / Kevin Appleby / Evalyn Tennant</i>						
12	Special sessions, Thursday, 8 December. If you attended a special session on Thursday, what is your overall rating (E.g. in terms of: guiding questions, moderation, discussion starters, relevance, outcomes)? Please only rate the Special Session you attended.						
	Session A: Making migration governance work: key considerations for a Global Compact for safe, regular and orderly migration						
	Session B: Guiding principles and voluntary guidelines on the treatment of migrants in vulnerable situations – building on existing guidelines and recommendations on vulnerability	-	2	6	34	14	56
	Session C: Creating welcoming societies– how we can connect civil society campaigns in order to change policies as well as perceptions	-	-	7	9	3	19
	Session D: Points of no Return- “first” conversation on return and readmission; what is happening and where are the lines	-	-	4	15	7	26
		-	-	6	7	5	18

Nr.	Questions	Very poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent	Total
	<p>Could you name one or two participants in the Special session you attended who you think should be considered for future roles as moderators or discussion starters in further sessions?</p> <p><i>Pedro de Vasconcelos / Sarnata Reynolds / Andrea Milan / Pia Oberoi / Berenice Valdes / John Bingham / Lilana Keith / Adrian Pierre / Nilambar Badal / Dipa Bhardwaj / Anjali Bedekar / Gibril Faal</i></p>						
13	<p>Working sessions, Friday, 9 December. What is your overall rating of the working session you attended on Friday? (E.g. in terms of: guiding questions, moderation, discussion starters, relevance, outcomes). Please only rate the working session you attended.</p> <p>1.2: Protecting and empowering migrant workers in all global supply chains 2.2: Development Solutions for forced displacement, including by conflict, disaster and climate change 3.2: Migrant and diaspora action for job creation, social entrepreneurship and public policy 4.2: Implementing and monitoring migration-related aspects of the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, including the role of the GFMD</p>	- - 1 -	- - 1 2	4 4 8 10	27 12 13 24	10 5 7 11	41 21 30 47
	<p>Could you name one or two participants in the Working session you attended who you think should be considered for future roles as moderators or discussion starters in further sessions?</p> <p><i>Gibril Faal / Stella Opoku-Owusu / Kellyn Wee Jiaying / Manju Gurung / Adrian Piere / Rejimon Kuttapan / Cecile Riallant</i></p>						
14	How would you rate the report back by the rapporteur from the working session you attended? To what extent did the report reflect the discussion, recommendations, practices and indicators that came out of the breakout session?	1	0	10	53	19	83
15	How would you rate the report of the Rapporteur on Children?	1	3	14	42	17	77
16	How would you rate the report of the Rapporteur on Women?	2	4	13	44	19	82
17	What did you think about the Concluding debate “Commitments: what between now and the GFMD in Germany, June 2017?” (with panelists Amb. Götz Schmidt-Bremme, Berenice Valdez Rivera, Samidha Garg, Milka Isinta, Gopal Krishna Siwakoti and Sophia Wirsching)?	-	1	16	46	22	85
18	How do you rate the format and theme of Common Space between government and civil society (Saturday 10 December)?	3	2	26	40	12	83
Comments	<i>fatal symbolic separation of civil society and gov's / too many speeches / programme behind schedule disadvantageous for subsequent events / there should be one GFMD shared among CS and gov's / lack of real dialogue</i>						
19	How would you rate the Plenary Session for the GFMD Government on Saturday 10 December?	1	5	21	43	11	81

Nr.	Questions	Very poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent	Total	
20	How would you rate the report from the Civil Society Days, delivered by our Chair Colin Rajah, to governments during Common Space?	-	-	9	36	38	83	
21	How would you rate the Common Space parallel breakout sessions? Please respond only for the break-out session you attended. 1: Cooperation in Global governance. Towards an ambitious Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration: achieving Summit commitments and 2030 Agenda target 10.7 2: Cooperation in Social governance. Implementing successful campaigns for social Inclusion and against Xenophobia and Discrimination: achieving Summit commitments and 2030 Agenda goals 3: Cooperation in Labour market governance. Ensuring decent labour and recruitment practices: achieving Summit commitments and 2030 Agenda target 8.8	-	3	10	25	12	50	
		-	1	7	25	7	40	
		-	1	7	13	5	26	
22	Did you have any interactions with governments during the GFMD that you think may lead to significant policy change?	35 no		39 yes			74	
	<i>Bangladesh / Germany / India / Nepal / Qatar / Mauritius / Zambia / Portugal / Brazil / USA / Maldives / Malaysia / Lesotho / Indonesia / Philippines / Morocco / Mexico / Switzerland / Canada / Chile</i>							
23	If you have attended the GFMD before, do you think that access to the government days was better or worse that previous years?	1	3	15	12	7	38	
24	Do you think the number of governments participating in the CSDs was sufficient?	18 yes	2 no govts should participate		61 more govts should participate		81	
	<i>More and higher-ranking gov delegates should participate / exchange of information and feedback needed</i>							
25	How do you rate the selection procedure for the civil society delegates of the 2016 GFMD Civil Society Days? (this year for the first time ½ of the delegates were selected through the open application process as in past years, but the other ½ selected directly through regional and thematic networks)	3	4	7	26	20	12 Not aware	72
26	How would you rate the number of participants?	-	1	21	54	7	83	
27	How would you rate the mix of participants?	-	2	27	42	13	84	
	<i>there was diversity / more academics should participate / some participants should be better prepared / few African representatives / global South underrepresented</i>							
28	How useful was the content of the pre-event e-mails that were sent?	-	2	15	46	25	88	

Nr.	Questions	Very poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent	Total
29	To what extent was the website useful for your preparation?	3	3	20	49	10	85
30	What did you think of the “paper smart” policy at this year’s Civil Society Days (only 5 short documents were given to participants in the conference packets)? <i>Although not very environmentally friendly, a lot of people still do not use digital versions of papers which limited the readers / Propose usage of recycled paper and provision of paper recycling bins to encourage recycling once finished with documents</i>	8 Less prints	6 Was just right	22 more prints			36
31	What did you think of the working conditions at the venue, Bangabandhu International Conference Center?	-	1	11	27	44	83
32	What did you think of the support from the organizing staff before and during the event?	-	2	11	21	55	89
33	Do you think the thematic topics covered during the CSD represent the key issues facing migrants and their families?	2 no	29 to an extent	35 yes			66
Missing themes	<i>human trafficking and labour migration / socio-protection issues / south-south migration / return and readmission / irregular migration / youth, indigenous issues, xenophobia</i>						
34	What do you take away from this GFMD Civil Society Days that matters for your daily work? <i>strategies of working with local authorities / methods to promote migrants'rights / there is still much to do / inspiring encounters / networking opportunities / better understanding of current issues of international migration / information on the Global Compact / Familiarization with best practice / advocating for the development of a Diaspora Policy is interlinked to visible Diaspora social enterprise and job creation effort / importance of global civil society being organized on all these matters / importance of exchange at regional level</i>						55
35	What follow-up actions would you like to see materializing between now and the GFMD Civil Society Days in Germany in June 2017? If you can, please specify how these actions should be initiated and by who? <i>Specific plans for implementation of the recommendations made, from CS and gov's / dialogue of CS and gov's, also on regional basis / update and monitoring of Global Compact / Working for a chapter on migration and development in the Global Compact / Introduce common guidelines for safe migration / 6 months is too short for tangible actions / solid network of CSO's / governments should commit to women's and children's rights / Discuss the issue of unaccompanied minors and women and the various forms of trafficking in human beings / "ACTION"</i>						44

	<i>in the areas where the issues is very critical (Middle East & Africa and Latin America / there is a need to link up the GFMD to other Fora such as the Mayoral Forum / There should be more engagement between policy makers/practitioners and researchers/academics in order to produce more sound evidence-based policy making / Online forums before June discussing on the different issues might compliment the debate</i>					
36	<p>What should be the focus of the next GFMD Civil Society Days in Germany in 2017?</p> <p><i>Rights of Women Migrant Workers in destination countries / Forced Displacement / Migration with Dignity for labour migrants (zero recruitment fees, etc) / Global Compacts and what has been done so far / make the GFMD and global Compact binding / instead of "return" a more integral, development-oriented approach to these topics that put migrants' rights at the centre of any response / protection of children / Climate Refugees / South-south migration / combatting xenophobia / Boosting the role and capacity of Civil Society worldwide to respond to Migration and Development emerging challenges / Documenting/analyzing CSOs' practices to have a more evidenced based discussion.</i></p>					54
37	<p>Going forward, do you think the GFMD continues to exist in it is current form, and if not, what would you like to see changed? (e. g. more room for interaction between governments and civil society?)</p> <p><i>More genuine interaction between government and civil society is needed / There is very little engagement with governments and there is hardly any desire from the government delegates to interact with civil society / Common Space should be extended / More exchange of ideas and opinions between origin & destination countries /All Sessions should bring concrete outcomes as the special sessions / GFMD should continue in its current form / Develop the GFMD as a place of mutual work and exchange between civil society and governments to elaborate shared strategies and actions</i></p>					47
38	<p>In 2014, the MADE (Migration and Development) civil society network was set up to facilitate further civil society organizing on migration and development throughout the year in between, above and beyond GFMDs. Have you been involved in any of the MADE activities, regions, working groups, surveys or campaigns?</p>	23 yes	22 no	20 Please send info		65
39	<p>You can leave any other feedback or comments here</p> <p><i>Congratulations / thank you / best Civil Society Days yet / a media coordinator should launch daily press releases / Attending GFMD helped me understand labour issues, migration policies, recent developments /good platform for capacity enhancement towards migration and development / there should be more cooperation with IOM / commitment and output of the discussion should materialize with global collaborations and result based actions / The GFMD is an open school that must have a creative pedagogy. The current format needs to be revised to make it more open and participatory while consolidating the role of MADE</i></p>					36