Reporting Template for group discussions

Civil society Strategy Meeting 3rd of June 2018

Reporting template	
Rapporteur:	Christian Wolff
Session theme:	**Implementation, Follow-up and Review**
1. Governments to target in the next round	
TBD	

2. Key messages to bring forward in the next round

- There is a lack of clarity in the draft about the **roles of different stakeholders**, especially regarding the CB mechanism (para 42) vis-à-vis the UN "migration network" (para 44)
- **CS needs to be effectively included** in implementation structures at all levels (see also below) – e.g. no only as implementers of (privately funded?) activities, but also as stakeholders in the design of these
- CS should also aim be represented in "migration network" – for example, by co-chairing some of the expected working groups
- Provisions for **national-level implementation, FuR** are still missing from the draft – there should be a flexible system that allows for different approaches to this (national action plans; integration into other existing plans, e.g. on development, climate action, etc.); BUT: there has to be an international element for review as well, since some states don't allow civil society access to national structures
- The **role of IOM** needs further clarification – potential conflict of interest between coordination, funding and implementation functions needs to be avoided
- The **roles of other UN agencies** need to come out more clearly
- **CS needs to have access to FuR mechanisms** – whether at national or global level; stronger linkages with existing HR mechanisms (UPR, SR,. etc.) would be helpful for this, and should be emphasized in the text (e.g., linking paras 51 and 2); there could also be UN fora to take this forward, not just state-led mechanisms

3. Actions to move key messages collectively (e.g. joint statements, text proposals, smaller meetings with governments …) [Coordinating roles agreed]

1. Monitoring Framework [Action Committee; Evalyn & Helena]:
   a. Concerns about changes in para 15 on "commitments" vs "policy options & best practices" in the 23 Objectives (chapeau vs sub-paras) – need to re-evaluate what are actual commitments
   b. Mapping if/in how far the 23 Objectives are linked to the proposed implementation mechanism
2. Need for a Savings Clause [Laurel] – to capture applicability of existing international human rights obligations
3. Questions about the role of the GFMD in FuR, also vis-à-vis the role of states and CS (see para 50) [Emer]
4. Improving the role of UN treaty bodies in the FuR – accountability, language, e.g. (link paras 2 & 51) [Sophie & Helena]
5. Create natl pilots on implementation with interested states in various regions [Action Committee]
6. Concerns about the role of IOM, including potential conflict of interest (coordination vs funding and implementation), and leadership concerns – importance of preparing language for round 6 [Sophie]

7.   Potential role of Voluntary Reporting mechanisms – regarding para 48 (b) – check on NYD language on this, as well as 2030 Agenda? [Sarnata & Laurel]

8.   Follow up and Review – do we need more details on mainstreaming certain issues in the text (e.g. gender, children), perhaps to have an ombudsperson? [Carol]

9.   Timeline for review – language proposals, e.g. in 4 -year IMRF negotiated docs [Emer]

4. How can we move this issue forward in the next half of the year + in the implementation phase and what are the changes needed in the section on implementation, follow-up and review for the issue to move forward?

See above

5. Civil society baselines: how we will define whether the GCM is sufficiently ambitious once it's adopted? What could be our *'indicator of success'* for this theme?

Developing a CS proposal for a monitoring framework between July-Dec, to serve as a basis for future shadow reporting

Additional comments, e.g. particular challenges or highlights worth mentioning? *[optional]*